

ENCLOSURE 2

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (FFY) 2011 IDEA PART B LEA PERFORMANCE DETERMINATIONS

LEA:	School for Educational Evolution and Development (SEED) Public Charter School	
Final Percentage Rating:	78%	
Determination Level:	Needs Assistance	

SUMMARY OF EACH REQUIRED ELEMENT AND RATING ASSIGNED

Element	Element Description	Determination	Number of Points Achieved	Number of Points Possible
1	History, nature and length of time of any reported noncompliance (APR Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13)	 Indicator 4b –in compliance Indicator 9 – in compliance Indicator 10 – in compliance Indicator 11 – not in compliance Indicator 12 – N/A Indicator 13 – in compliance 	4	5
2	Information regarding timely, valid and reliable data	All data are submitted timely	4	4
3 a	Identified noncompliance from on-site compliance monitoring and/or focused monitoring	LEA did not receive a report in FFY 2010 as the result of an on-site monitoring visit	N/A	N/A
3b	Dispute resolution findings	LEA has more than 100 students with IEPs • 0-16 findings of noncompliance	2	2

4	Outcomes of sub-recipient audit reports	 Timely submission of A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points Type of Auditor's A-133 Report Issued on Compliance (if applicable) – 4 points Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points Auditor's designation as low-risk subrecipient in the A-133 Report (if applicable) – 4 points Significant deficiencies identified by the Auditor that are not a material weakness in the annual independent audit – 4 points Material weaknesses identified by the Auditor in the annual independent audit – 4 points Noncompliance or other matters identified by the Auditor that is required to be reported under Government Auditing Standard – 4 points 	3.5 (average points)	4 (average points)
5	Other data available to OSSE regarding the LEA's compliance with the IDEA, including, but not limited to, relevant financial data	Either timely LEA submission of Phase I and Phase II applications, or reimbursement for a minimum of 45% of its IDEA, Section 611 funds within the first 15 months of the FFY 2011 grant cycle	2	4
6	Compliance with the IDEA Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement	LEA in compliance with the IDEA MOE requirement and LEA reported on MOE to OSSE timely	2	2
7	Performance on selected District of Columbia State Performance Plan (SPP) indicators	LEA did not meet District of Columbia FFY 2011 AYP targets for the disability subgroup	0	2

8	Evidence of correction of findings of noncompliance, including progress toward full compliance	100% of noncompliance corrected as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year after the identification of the noncompliance	2	2
Total Number of Points Achieved			19.50	
Total Possible Points from Applicable Elements			25	
Percentage of Points Achieved from Applicable Elements		78%		